arXiv:math/0412104v1 [math.NT] 6 Dec 2004

EXAMPLES OF SHIMURA CORRESPONDENCE FOR LEVEL p^2 AND REAL QUADRATIC TWISTS

ARIEL PACETTI AND GONZALO TORNARÍA

Abstract. We give examples of Shimura correspondence for rational modular forms f of weight 2 and level p^2, for primes $p \leq 19$, computed as an application of a method we introduced in [5]. Furthermore, we verify in this examples a conjectural formula for the central values $L(f, -pd, 1)$ and, in case $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, a formula for the central values $L(f, d, 1)$ corresponding to the real quadratic twists of f.

1. Introduction

Let f be a newform of weight 2. We denote $L(f, s)$ its Hecke L-series, and for D a fundamental discriminant we define its *twisted L-series* as

$$L(f, D, s) := L\left(f \otimes \left(\tfrac{D}{\cdot}\right), s\right),$$

where $f \otimes \left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right)$ is (the newform corresponding to) the twist of f by the quadratic character $n \mapsto \left(\frac{D}{n}\right)$. Recall that $L(f, D, s)$ is an entire function of the complex plane with a functional equation relating the its values at s and $2 - s$, its central value being $L(f, D, 1)$.

In the case of prime level p, a method due to Gross [3] constructs, provided $L(f, 1) \neq 0$, a nonzero modular form Θ_f of weight $3/2$ and level $4p$ which maps to f under the Shimura correspondence [8]. By Waldspurger's formula [11] the Fourier coefficients of Θ_f are related to the central values $L(f, -d, 1)$ for imaginary fundamental discriminants $-d < 0$, and Gross gives an explicit formula for such central values.

The authors have extended Gross's method to the case of level p^2 (under a technical hypothesis, see §4, and cf. [7].) We show in [5] how to construct *two* modular forms Θ_f^+ and Θ_f^- of weight $3/2$ and level $4p^2$, with character $\varkappa_p(n) := \left(\frac{p}{n}\right)$, mapping to f under the Shimura correspondence.

In this paper we outline the main ideas of our method, and conjecture a formula relating the central values $L(f, -pd, 1)$ for imaginary fundamental discriminants $-pd < 0$, with the Fourier coefficients of Θ_f^+ and Θ_f^-. In particular, such formula would imply that $\Theta_f^+ = \Theta_f^- = 0$ if and only if $L(f, 1) = 0$.

When $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, we apply this method and the conjectured formula to the computation of the central values $L(f, d, 1)$ for *real* fundamental discriminants $d > 0$, giving an algorithm that is particularly well suited to the case where f has level p. The proviso here would be that $L(f, -p, 1) \neq 0$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11F37; Secondary: 11F67.

Key words and phrases. Shimura Correspondence, L-series, Real Twists.

The first author was supported by a CONICET grant.

Finally, we give examples of this algorithm applied to the rational modular forms f_{49A}, f_{11A}, f_{121A}, f_{121B}, f_{121C}, f_{121D}, f_{17A}, f_{289A}, f_{19A}, f_{361A}, and f_{361B}. The routines used for these calculations, which will be made available in [1], were written by the authors for the PARI/GP system [6].

More examples can be found among the data presented at the "Special Week on Ranks of Elliptic Curves and Random Matrix Theory" held at the Isaac Newton Institute, which includes the application of the latter algorithm to the rational modular forms of level $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, with $p < 500$ [9].

For a different approach to computing the central values for real quadratic twists, which works only for prime level, see [4].

2. Quaternion algebras and Shimura correspondence

Let a, b be negative integers and let $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(a,b)$ be the definite quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ with basis $\{1, i, j, k = ij\}$ where $i^2 = a$, $j^2 = b$, $ij = -ji$. For $x \in \mathbb{H}$, we denote by $\mathcal{N}x$ the reduced norm of x, and the norm of a lattice $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ is defined to be $\mathcal{N}\mathfrak{a} := \gcd\{\mathcal{N}x \ : \ x \in \mathfrak{a}\}$.

The determinant of the quadratic form $\mathcal{N}$ in the above basis is $16\,(ab)^2$. Therefore, the determinant of any order $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ is a rational square. Its positive square root will be denoted by $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{O})$.

We let $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{O})$ be the set of *left* $\mathcal{O}$*-ideals*, i.e. the lattices $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}_p = \mathcal{O}_p x_p$ for every prime p, with $x_p \in \mathbb{H}_p^\times$. An equivalence relation is defined on $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{O})$ where two left ideals $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{O})$ are in the same class if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}x$, for some $x \in \mathbb{H}^\times$; we write $[\mathfrak{a}]$ for the class of $\mathfrak{a}$. The set of all left $\mathcal{O}$-ideal classes, which we denote by $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O})$, is known to be finite.

Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space with basis $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O})$, with the *height pairing*

$$\langle [\mathfrak{a}], [\mathfrak{b}] \rangle := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\,\#\mathcal{O}_r(\mathfrak{a})^\times & \text{if } [\mathfrak{a}] = [\mathfrak{b}], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

as an inner product. Note that $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O})$ is an orthogonal basis of this space.

For each integer $m \geq 1$ we define *Hecke operators* $t_m : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})$ by

$$t_m[\mathfrak{a}] := \sum_{[\mathfrak{b}] \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{O})} B_m\big([\mathfrak{b}], [\mathfrak{a}]\big) \cdot [\mathfrak{b}],$$

where B_m is the classical *Brandt matrix*

$$B_m\big([\mathfrak{a}], [\mathfrak{b}]\big) := \#\big\{\mathfrak{c} \in [\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{b}] \ : \ \mathcal{N}\mathfrak{c} = m, \ \mathfrak{c} \text{ integral}\big\}.$$

The Hecke operators t_m generate a commutative ring $\mathbb{T}$ and thus, by the spectral theorem, $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})$ has an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors for $\mathbb{T}$. When f is a newform of weight 2, say $f_{|T(m)} = \lambda_m f$, we set

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})^f := \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}) \ : \ t_m\mathbf{v} = \lambda_m\mathbf{v} \text{ for } (m, \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{O})) = 1\},$$

to be the f-isotypical component of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})$.

2.1. Modular forms of weight $^3\!/_2$.

The *discriminant* of a quaternion $x \in \mathbb{H}$ is defined to be $\Delta\, x := (x - \overline{x})^2$. This is a quadratic form of rank 3 which we will use to construct modular forms of weight $^3\!/_2$.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an order in $\mathbb{H}$. We define $\Omega(\mathcal{O}) := \gcd\{\Delta\, x \,:\, x \in \mathcal{O}\}$, and note that $Q(x) := -\,\Delta\, x / \,\Omega(\mathcal{O})$, in the ternary lattice $\mathcal{O}/\mathbb{Z}$, is a primitive, positive definite ternary quadratic form. Its theta series,

$$\Theta(\mathcal{O}) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{O}/\mathbb{Z}} q^{Q(x)},$$

depends only on the $\mathbb{Z}$-equivalence class of the ternary quadratic form Q; in the examples such a ternary quadratic form will be given by its coefficients a_1, a_2, a_3, a_{23}, a_{13}, a_{12}, meaning that in some basis of $\mathcal{O}/\mathbb{Z}$,

$$Q(X_1, X_2, X_3) = a_1 X_1^2 + a_2 X_2^2 + a_3 X_3^2 + a_{23} X_2 X_3 + a_{13} X_1 X_3 + a_{12} X_1 X_2. \tag{1}$$

We will also write Q to stand for its theta series.

Now let $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{O})$. We set $\Theta([\mathfrak{a}]) := \Theta(\mathcal{O}_r(\mathfrak{a}))$, and extend by linearity to all of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})$. Note that the ternary forms corresponding to $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}_r(\mathfrak{a})$ are in the same genus since $\mathfrak{a}$, being principal, induces local isometries by conjugation. In particular, $\Omega(\mathcal{O}) = \Omega(\mathcal{O}_r(\mathfrak{a}))$.

Note that $\Theta(\mathbf{v})$ is in the space $M_{3/2}(N, \varkappa)$ of modular forms of weight $3/2$, level $N = 4\frac{\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{O})}{\Omega(\mathcal{O})}$, and character $\varkappa = \left(\frac{\Omega(\mathcal{O})}{\cdot}\right)$. Moreover,

Proposition 2.1. *The map Θ is Hecke-linear, i.e.*

$$\Theta(\mathbf{v})_{|T(m^2)} = \Theta(t_m \mathbf{v}),$$

for any $m \geq 1$ such that $(m, 2\,\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{O})) = 1$.

This means that for a newform f of weight 2, any nonzero modular form in $\Theta\big(M(\mathcal{O})^f\big)$ will map to f under the Shimura correspondence.

3. Gross's formula for level p

Let f be a newform of weight 2 and prime level p, and let $\mathcal{O}$ be a maximal order in the quaternion algebra ramified at p and ∞. It follows from Eichler's trace formula [2] that $\dim \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})^f = 1$. Thus $\mathbf{e}_f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})^f$ is well defined up to a constant; we write

$$\Theta_f := \Theta(\mathbf{e}_f) = \sum_{d \geq 1} c_f(d) q^d.$$

We also define the Peterson norm of f to be

$$\langle f, f \rangle := 8\pi^2 \int_{\Gamma_0(N)\backslash \mathfrak{h}} |f(z)|^2 dx\, dy$$

Theorem 3.1 (Gross [3, Proposition 13.5, p. 179])**.** *Let $-d < 0$ be a fundamental discriminant. Then*

$$L(f, -d, 1)\, L(f, 1) = \star\, \frac{\langle f, f \rangle}{\sqrt{d}} \frac{c_f(d)^2}{\langle \mathbf{e}_f, \mathbf{e}_f \rangle},$$

where $\star = 1$ if $p \nmid d$, $\star = 2$ if $p \mid d$.

4. On certain non-maximal orders and level p^2

The aim here is to give a formula like the one in Theorem 3.1 that applies also to modular forms of level p^2. Keep the notation of the previous section, except f is now a newform of weight 2 and level p or p^2. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be the unique suborder of index p in $\mathcal{O}$, namely

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}} := \{x \in \mathcal{O} \ : \ p \mid \Delta\, x\}.$$

We have the following result due to Pizer ([7, Theorem 8.2, p.223]) :

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^f = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } f \text{ is not the twist of a level } p \text{ form,} \\ 1 & \text{if } f \text{ is a level } p \text{ form or the quadratic twist of a level } p \text{ form,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In what follows we will assume that f is not in the last case, i.e. that $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^f \neq 0$.

Clearly, $\mathrm{D}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = p^2$, but $\Omega(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = p$, and we have $\Theta\Big(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})\Big) \subseteq M_{3/2}(4p, \varkappa_p)$. Thus $\Theta\big(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^f\big) = 0$ unless f is a level p form.

We now investigate suborders of index p in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$. One can prove that any such order contains $\mathbb{Z} + p\mathcal{O}$; conversely, any of the $p+1$ lattices $\mathcal{O}'$ such that $\mathbb{Z} + p\mathcal{O} \subsetneq \mathcal{O}' \subsetneq \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is an order. Let $x \in \mathcal{O}'$ such that $x \notin \mathbb{Z} + p\mathcal{O}$. Then

$$\sigma := \left(\frac{\Delta\, x/p}{p}\right)$$

is well defined and nonzero, and we call σ the *sign* of $\mathcal{O}'$. The orders $\mathcal{O}'$ split in two local conjugacy classes: $\frac{p+1}{2}$ of sign $+$, and $\frac{p+1}{2}$ of sign $-$.

The space $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}')$ depends only on the sign of $\mathcal{O}'$. Thus, we fix $\mathcal{O}^+$ and $\mathcal{O}^-$ to be two such orders, with signs $+$ and $-$ respectively. In what follows σ will denote either $+$ or $-$. Note that $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{O}^\sigma) = p^3$, $\Omega(\mathcal{O}^\sigma) = p$ and so we have Hecke-linear maps

$$\Theta : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}^\sigma) \to M_{3/2}(4p^2, \varkappa_p).$$

The space $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}^\sigma)$ is too big for our purposes, since it represents weight 2 modular forms of level p^3; indeed $\dim \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}^\sigma) = O(p^3)$, compared to $\dim \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = O(p^2)$.

For $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$ the $\mathcal{O}^\sigma$*-subideals* of $\mathfrak{a}$ are the $\mathfrak{b} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{O}^\sigma)$ such that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathcal{N}\,\mathfrak{b} = \mathcal{N}\,\mathfrak{a}$. It can be proved that the number of $\mathcal{O}^\sigma$-subideals of $\mathfrak{a}$ is exactly p and, moreover, that they all have the same right order. Thus, we can define Hecke-linear maps

$$\Theta^\sigma : \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) \to M_{3/2}(4p^2, \varkappa_p),$$

given, for $[\mathfrak{a}] \in \mathcal{I}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$, by

$$\Theta^\sigma([\mathfrak{a}]) := \Theta([\mathfrak{b}]),$$

where $\mathfrak{b}$ is any $\mathcal{O}^\sigma$-subideal of $\mathfrak{a}$.

If $\Theta^\sigma(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^f) = 0$, we let $\mathbf{e}_f^\sigma$ to be any nonzero vector in $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^f$. Otherwise, it follows from the strong multiplicity one theorem of Ueda ([10, Theorem 3.11, p.181]) that $\dim \Theta^\sigma(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^f) = 1$, and thus there is, up to a constant, a unique $\mathbf{e}_f^\sigma \in \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^f$ orthogonal to $\ker \Theta^\sigma$. We write

$$\Theta_f^\sigma := \Theta^\sigma(\mathbf{e}_f^\sigma) = \sum_{\left(\frac{d}{p}\right)=\sigma} c_f(d) q^d.$$

Let us also introduce the rational constant

$$\alpha_f := \frac{1}{2}\cdot\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f \text{ is not the twist of a level } p \text{ form,}\\ \frac{p}{p-1} & \text{if } f \text{ is the quadratic twist of a level } p \text{ form,}\\ p+1 & \text{if } f \text{ is a level } p \text{ form.}\end{cases}$$

Conjecture 4.1. *Let d be an integer such that $-pd < 0$ is a fundamental discriminant, and such that $\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) = \sigma$. Then*

$$L(f,-pd,1)\,L(f,1) = \alpha_f\,\frac{\langle f,f\rangle}{\sqrt{pd}}\,\frac{c_f(d)^2}{\langle \mathbf{e}_f^\sigma, \mathbf{e}_f^\sigma\rangle}.$$

5. An Algorithm for the Real Quadratic Twists

Assume now that $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$, and let f be as before a newform of weight 2 and level p or p^2. Let f^* be the twist of f by the quadratic character of conductor p. For any positive fundamental discriminant d, we have

$$L(f,d,s) = L(f^*,-pd,s).$$

Thus, the formula of conjecture 4.1 would be able to compute the central values of $L(f,d,s)$ for positive fundamental discriminants d prime to p, provided that $L(f^*,1) = L(f,-p,1) \neq 0$.

The algorithm consists on computing the Brandt matrices for $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ and finding the eigenspace $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f^*}$. When f has level p there is a better algorithm for computing $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f^*}$, by exploiting the two linear maps

- $\psi : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$ given for $\mathfrak{a} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{O})$ by

  $$\psi([\mathfrak{a}]) = \sum_{\mathfrak{b}\text{ subideal}} [\mathfrak{b}],$$

  where the sum is over all $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-subideals of $\mathfrak{a}$, i.e. the ideals $\mathfrak{b} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$ such that $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathcal{N}\,\mathfrak{b} = \mathcal{N}\,\mathfrak{a}$. This map commutes with the Hecke operators, and thus

  $$\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^f = \psi(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})^f).$$

- $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) \to \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$, given, for $\mathfrak{b} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})$ by

  $$\varphi([\mathfrak{b}]) = \chi(\mathfrak{b})[\mathfrak{b}] \text{ for } \mathfrak{b} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}),$$

  where $\chi(\mathfrak{b})$ is the *sign* of $\mathfrak{b}$ (namely $\chi(\mathfrak{b}) := \left(\frac{\mathcal{N}(x)/\,\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{b})}{p}\right)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{b}$ such that $p \nmid (\mathcal{N}(x)/\,\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{b}))$, see [7, Proposition 5.1]). This map corresponds to twisting by the quadratic character of conductor p; hence

  $$\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f^*} = \varphi(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^f).$$

Thus, it will be enough to compute the Brandt matrices for $\mathcal{O}$ to find $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})^f$, and $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f^*} = \varphi(\psi(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})^f))$. This is a big improvement since $\dim \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O}) = O(p)$, while $\dim \mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = O(p^2)$.

$\mathcal{O}$-ideals	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-subideals	χ	$+$ genus	$-$ genus
$\mathfrak{a}_1$	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,1} = \left\langle 1, 7i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{7i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_1^+	Q_1^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,2} = \left\langle 7, 1+i, \frac{7+j}{2}, \frac{8+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_2^+	Q_2^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,3} = \left\langle 7, 3+i, \frac{7+j}{2}, \frac{10+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_3^+	Q_2^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,4} = \left\langle 7, 5+i, \frac{7+j}{2}, \frac{12+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_3^+	Q_1^-

Table 6.1. Maps $\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^+}$ and $\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^-}$ from the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-Ideals to ternary quadratic forms in the $+$ and $-$ genus respectively, level 7^2.

	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_{23}	a_{13}	a_{12}
Q_1^+	1,	28,	56,	-28,	0,	0
Q_2^+	4,	8,	49,	0,	0,	-4
Q_3^+	8,	9,	25,	2,	4,	8
Q_1^-	12,	12,	13,	-8,	-8,	-4
Q_2^-	5,	17,	17,	6,	2,	2

Table 6.2. Coefficients of ternary quadratic forms, level 7^2.

6. Example: level 7^2

Let $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(-1, -7)$, the quaternion algebra ramified precisely at ∞ and 7. A maximal order, having a unique left ideal class, is given by

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{a}_1 = \left\langle 1, i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{i+k}{2} \right\rangle.$$

Its index p suborder is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \left\langle 1, 7i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{7i+k}{2} \right\rangle;$$

inequivalent $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-subideals for the $\mathcal{O}$-ideal are show in Table 6.1.

We fix two index p suborders of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$

$$\mathcal{O}^+ = \left\langle 1, 7i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{7i+7k}{2} \right\rangle,$$
$$\mathcal{O}^- = \left\langle 1, 7i, \frac{1+7j}{2}, \frac{1+7i+5j+k}{2} \right\rangle$$

in the $+$ and $-$ genus respectively. Table 6.1 shows the maps from $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-ideals to ternary quadratic forms of level 7^2 in the $+$ genus and in the $-$ genus, computed via $\mathcal{O}^+$- and $\mathcal{O}^-$-subideals respectively. The actual coefficients of the ternary quadratic forms are given in Table 6.2, with the notation as in (1).

6.1. $f_{49\mathsf{A}}$. By computing the Brandt matrices for $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$, we find the space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{49\mathsf{A}}}$ of dimension 2, spanned by

$$\mathbf{e}^+_{f_{49\mathsf{A}}} = [\mathfrak{b}_{1,1}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,2}],$$

d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{49A}}, d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{49A}}, d, 1)$
1	1	0.966656	109	2	0.370355
8	-2	1.367058	113	-4	1.454965
29	2	0.718014	120	4	1.411891
37	2	0.635669	137	2	0.330348
44	0	0.000000	141	-4	1.302514
53	0	0.000000	149	0	0.000000
57	0	0.000000	156	4	1.238311
60	4	1.996716	165	-4	1.204065
65	-2	0.479596	172	0	0.000000
85	-2	0.419394	177	-8	4.650131
88	-4	1.648734	184	-4	1.140205
92	4	1.612493	193	0	0.000000
93	-4	1.603801	197	0	0.000000
d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{49A}}, d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{49A}}, d, 1)$
5	-1	0.864603	97	-1	0.196298
12	2	2.232396	101	-1	0.192372
13	1	0.536204	104	4	3.033229
17	1	0.468897	124	-4	2.777864
24	-2	1.578542	129	4	2.723498
33	-2	1.346185	136	2	0.663120
40	0	0.000000	145	2	0.642211
41	1	0.301933	152	-2	0.627249
61	1	0.247535	157	-3	1.388656
69	-2	0.930974	173	1	0.146987
73	-3	2.036493	181	1	0.143702
76	-2	0.887064	185	-4	2.274238
89	3	1.844376	188	0	0.000000

TABLE 6.3. Coefficients of $\Theta^+_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}}$ (top), $\Theta^-_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}}$ (bottom), and central values for f_{49A}

and

$$\mathbf{e}^-_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}} = \frac{[\mathfrak{b}_{1,1}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,2}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,3}] + [\mathfrak{b}_{1,4}]}{2},$$

with heights $\left\langle \mathbf{e}^+_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}}, \mathbf{e}^+_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}} \right\rangle = 2 \left\langle \mathbf{e}^-_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}}, \mathbf{e}^-_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}} \right\rangle = 2$. Using table 6.1, we see that

$$\Theta^+_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}} = Q^+_1 - Q^+_2,$$

and

$$\Theta^-_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}} = Q^-_1 - Q^-_2.$$

Table 6.3 shows the values of $c_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}}(d)$ and $L(f_{\mathsf{49A}}, -7d, 1) = L(f_{\mathsf{49A}}, d, 1)$, where

$\mathcal{O}$-ideals	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-subideals	χ	$+$ genus	$-$ genus
$\mathfrak{a}_1$	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,1} = \left\langle 1, 11i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{11i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_1^+	Q_1^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,2} = \left\langle 11, 5+i, \frac{11+j}{2}, \frac{16+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_2^+	Q_2^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,3} = \left\langle 11, 9+i, \frac{11+j}{2}, \frac{20+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_2^+	Q_3^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,4} = \left\langle 11, 4+i, \frac{11+j}{2}, \frac{4+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_3^+	Q_3^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,5} = \left\langle 11, 10+i, \frac{11+j}{2}, \frac{10+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_4^+	Q_1^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,6} = \left\langle 11, 3+i, \frac{11+j}{2}, \frac{14+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_3^+	Q_2^-
$\mathfrak{a}_2$	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,1} = \left\langle 22, 14+2i, \frac{3+2i+j}{2}, \frac{10+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_5^+	Q_4^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,2} = \left\langle 22, 8+2i, \frac{19+2i+j}{2}, \frac{34+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_5^+	Q_4^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,3} = \left\langle 22, 12+2i, \frac{23+2i+j}{2}, \frac{18+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_6^+	Q_4^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,4} = \left\langle 2, 22i, \frac{3+22i+j}{2}, \frac{2+11i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_6^+	Q_4^-

TABLE 7.1. Maps $\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^+}$ and $\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^-}$ from the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-Ideals to ternary quadratic forms in the $+$ and $-$ genus respectively, level 11^2.

$0 < d < 200$ is a fundamental discriminant such that $7 \nmid d$. The formula

$$L(f_{\mathsf{49A}}, -7d, 1) = L(f_{\mathsf{49A}}, d, 1) = k_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}} \frac{c_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}}(d)^2}{\sqrt{d}} \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \left(\frac{d}{7}\right) = +1 \\ 2 & \text{if } \left(\frac{d}{7}\right) = -1 \end{cases}$$

is satisfied, where

$$k_{f_{\mathsf{49A}}} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\langle f_{\mathsf{49A}}, f_{\mathsf{49A}} \rangle}{L(f_{\mathsf{49A}}, 1)\sqrt{7}} = 0.96665585280840577336653841\mathrm{89} = L(f_{\mathsf{49A}}, 1).$$

7. EXAMPLE: LEVEL 11^2

Let $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(-1, -11)$, the quaternion algebra ramified precisely at ∞ and 11. A maximal order, and representatives for its left ideals classes, are given by

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{a}_1 = \left\langle 1, i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{i+k}{2} \right\rangle,$$
$$\mathfrak{a}_2 = \left\langle 2, 2i, \frac{3+2i+j}{2}, \frac{2+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle.$$

Its index p suborder is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \left\langle 1, 11i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{11i+k}{2} \right\rangle;$$

inequivalent $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-subideals for each $\mathcal{O}$-ideal are show in Table 7.1.

We fix two index p suborders of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$

$$\mathcal{O}^+ = \left\langle 1, 11i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{11i+11k}{2} \right\rangle,$$
$$\mathcal{O}^- = \left\langle 1, 11i, \frac{1+11j}{2}, \frac{1+11i+j+k}{2} \right\rangle$$

in the $+$ and $-$ genus respectively. Table 7.1 shows the maps from $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-ideals to ternary quadratic forms of level 11^2 in the $+$ genus and in the $-$ genus, computed via $\mathcal{O}^+$- and $\mathcal{O}^-$-subideals respectively. The actual coefficients of the ternary quadratic

	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_{23}	a_{13}	a_{12}
Q_1^+	1,	44,	132,	−44,	0,	0
Q_2^+	16,	16,	25,	−4,	−4,	−12
Q_3^+	5,	36,	36,	28,	4,	4
Q_4^+	4,	12,	121,	0,	0,	−4
Q_5^+	5,	9,	124,	−8,	−4,	−2
Q_6^+	4,	33,	45,	−22,	−4,	0
Q_1^-	8,	13,	61,	2,	4,	8
Q_2^-	13,	21,	21,	−2,	−6,	−6
Q_3^-	17,	21,	21,	−2,	−14,	−14
Q_4^-	13,	21,	24,	−16,	−4,	−6

TABLE 7.2. Coefficients of ternary quadratic forms, level 11^2.

forms are given in Table 7.2, with the notation as in (1).

7.1. **f_{11A}.** By computing the Brandt matrices for $\mathcal{O}$, we find the space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{11A}}} = \psi_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}\left(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})^{f_{\mathsf{11A}}}\right)$ of dimension 1, spanned by

$$\mathbf{e}^+_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}} = \mathbf{e}^-_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}} = \psi_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}\left(\frac{[\mathfrak{a}_1] - [\mathfrak{a}_2]}{2}\right),$$

with heights $\left\langle \mathbf{e}^+_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}}, \mathbf{e}^+_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{e}^-_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}}, \mathbf{e}^-_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}} \right\rangle = 15$. Using table 7.1, we see that

$$\Theta^+_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}} = Q_1^+ + 2Q_2^+ + 2Q_3^+ + Q_4^+ - 3Q_5^+ - 3Q_6^+,$$

and

$$\Theta^-_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}} = 2Q_1^- + 2Q_2^- + 2Q_3^- - 6Q_4^-.$$

Table 7.3 shows the values of $c_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}}(d)$ and $L(f_{\mathsf{11A}}, -11d, 1) = L(f_{\mathsf{121D}}, d, 1)$, where $0 < d < 200$ is a fundamental discriminant such that $11 \nmid d$. The formula

$$L(f_{\mathsf{11A}}, -11d, 1) = L(f_{\mathsf{121D}}, d, 1) = k_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}} \frac{c_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}}(d)^2}{\sqrt{d}}$$

is satisfied, where

$$k_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}} = \frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{\langle f_{\mathsf{11A}}, f_{\mathsf{11A}} \rangle}{L(f_{\mathsf{11A}}, 1)\sqrt{11}} = 1.759399038662040141251585974 = L(f_{\mathsf{121D}}, 1).$$

7.2. **f_{121A}.** By computing the Brandt matrices for $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$, we find the space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{121A}}}$ of dimension 2, spanned by

$$\mathbf{e}^+_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}} = \frac{2[\mathfrak{b}_{1,1}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,2}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,3}] + [\mathfrak{b}_{1,4}] - 2[\mathfrak{b}_{1,5}] + [\mathfrak{b}_{1,6}]}{2},$$

and

$$\mathbf{e}^-_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}} = \frac{[\mathfrak{b}_{1,2}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,3}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,4}] + [\mathfrak{b}_{1,6}]}{2},$$

d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{121D}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{121D}}, d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{121D}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{121D}}, d, 1)$
1	1	1.759399	113	-1	0.165510
5	-1	0.786827	124	3	1.421988
12	-1	0.507895	133	2	0.610237
37	1	0.289243	136	-2	0.603469
53	-2	0.966688	137	-1	0.150316
56	-2	0.940438	141	2	0.592673
60	3	2.044237	152	-2	0.570824
69	-1	0.211807	157	1	0.140415
89	3	1.678463	168	-2	0.542962
92	-1	0.183430	177	-7	6.479982
93	1	0.182441	181	1	0.130775
97	-3	1.607759	185	3	1.164182
104	2	0.690093	188	2	0.513269

d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{121D}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{121D}}, d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{121D}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{121D}}, d, 1)$
8	2	2.488166	101	2	0.700267
13	0	0.000000	105	-2	0.686799
17	2	1.706868	109	-2	0.674079
21	2	1.535729	120	-2	0.642442
24	-2	1.436543	129	2	0.619626
28	-2	1.329981	140	-2	0.594785
29	0	0.000000	145	-4	2.337762
40	2	1.112742	149	2	0.576542
41	-4	4.396351	156	-4	2.253835
57	4	3.728610	161	-2	0.554640
61	0	0.000000	172	2	0.536612
65	4	3.491625	173	2	0.535059
73	0	0.000000	184	-2	0.518818
76	0	0.000000	193	4	2.026309
85	-2	0.763334	197	-2	0.501408

TABLE 7.3. Coefficients of $\Theta^{+}_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}}$ (top), $\Theta^{-}_{f_{\mathsf{11A}}}$ (bottom), and central values for f_{121D}

with heights $\left\langle \mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}}, \mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}} \right\rangle = 3 \left\langle \mathbf{e}^{-}_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}}, \mathbf{e}^{-}_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}} \right\rangle = 3$. Using table 7.1, we see that

$$\Theta^{+}_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}} = Q^{+}_{1} - Q^{+}_{2} + Q^{+}_{3} - Q^{+}_{4},$$

and

$$\Theta^{-}_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}} = Q^{-}_{2} - Q^{-}_{3}.$$

Table 7.4 shows the values of $c_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}}(d)$ and $L(f_{\mathsf{121A}}, -11d, 1) = L(f_{\mathsf{121C}}, d, 1)$, where $0 < d < 200$ is a fundamental discriminant such that $11 \nmid d$. The formula

$$L(f_{\mathsf{121A}}, -11d, 1) = L(f_{\mathsf{121C}}, d, 1) = k_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}} \frac{c_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}}(d)^2}{\sqrt{d}} \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \left(\frac{d}{11}\right) = +1 \\ 3 & \text{if } \left(\frac{d}{11}\right) = -1 \end{cases}$$

d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{121C}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{121C}}, d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{121C}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{121C}}, d, 1)$
1	1	1.666157	113	1	0.156739
5	1	0.745128	124	-2	0.598501
12	-2	1.923912	133	-2	0.577897
37	-1	0.273915	136	4	2.285948
53	1	0.228864	137	-2	0.569398
56	-2	0.890598	141	2	0.561263
60	-2	0.860400	152	2	0.540573
69	0	0.000000	157	0	0.000000
89	1	0.176612	168	4	2.056749
92	-2	0.694835	177	2	0.500944
93	2	0.691090	181	-3	1.114600
97	-1	0.169173	185	1	0.122498
104	-2	0.653521	188	2	0.486068
d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{121C}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{121C}}, d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{121C}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{121C}}, d, 1)$
8	0	0.000000	101	0	0.000000
13	1	1.386326	105	0	0.000000
17	-1	1.212307	109	1	0.478767
21	0	0.000000	120	2	1.825183
24	-2	4.081234	129	-2	1.760363
28	2	3.778489	140	-2	1.689792
29	-1	0.928193	145	-1	0.415100
40	2	3.161310	149	1	0.409491
41	1	0.780630	156	2	1.600792
57	2	2.648255	161	2	1.575739
61	-2	2.559954	172	0	0.000000
65	1	0.619984	173	0	0.000000
73	-2	2.340107	184	2	1.473969
76	-2	2.293456	193	1	0.359798
85	1	0.542160	197	-1	0.356126

TABLE 7.4. Coefficients of $\Theta^{+}_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}}$ (top), $\Theta^{-}_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}}$ (bottom), and central values for f_{121C}

is satisfied, where

$$k_{f_{\mathsf{121A}}} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{\langle f_{\mathsf{121A}}, f_{\mathsf{121A}} \rangle}{L(f_{\mathsf{121A}}, 1)\sqrt{11}} = 1.666156920394216089937692029 = L(f_{\mathsf{121C}}, 1).$$

7.3. f_{121B}. By computing the Brandt matrices for $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$, we find the space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{121B}}}$ of dimension 2. Using table 7.1, we can check that

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^+}\left(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{121B}}}\right) = \Theta_{\mathcal{O}^-}\left(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{121B}}}\right) = 0,$$

which is expected since $L(f_{\mathsf{121B}}, 1) = 0$.

7.4. $f_{121\mathsf{C}}$. We readily find the space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{121\mathsf{C}}} = \varphi\left(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{121\mathsf{A}}}\right)$ of dimension 2, spanned by

$$\mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}} = \mathbf{e}^{-}_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}} = \frac{2[\mathfrak{b}_{1,1}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,2}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,3}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,4}] + 2[\mathfrak{b}_{1,5}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,6}]}{2},$$

and

$$[\mathfrak{b}_{1,2}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,3}] + [\mathfrak{b}_{1,4}] - [\mathfrak{b}_{1,6}],$$

with heights $\left\langle \mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}}, \mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{e}^{-}_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}}, \mathbf{e}^{-}_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}} \right\rangle = 3$. Using table 7.1, we see that

$$\Theta^{+}_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}} = Q^{+}_{1} - Q^{+}_{2} - Q^{+}_{3} + Q^{+}_{4},$$

and

$$\Theta^{-}_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}} = 2Q^{-}_{1} - Q^{-}_{2} - Q^{-}_{3}.$$

Table 7.5 shows the values of $c_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}}(d)$ and $L(f_{121\mathsf{C}}, -11d, 1) = L(f_{121\mathsf{A}}, d, 1)$, where $0 < d < 200$ is a fundamental discriminant such that $11 \nmid d$. The formula

$$L(f_{121\mathsf{C}}, -11d, 1) = L(f_{121\mathsf{A}}, d, 1) = k_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}} \frac{c_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}}(d)^2}{\sqrt{d}}$$

is satisfied, where

$$k_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{\langle f_{121\mathsf{C}}, f_{121\mathsf{C}} \rangle}{L(f_{121\mathsf{C}}, 1)\sqrt{11}} = 1.019794861782916556837117278 = L(f_{121\mathsf{A}}, 1).$$

7.5. $f_{121\mathsf{D}}$. We readily find the space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{121\mathsf{D}}} = \varphi\left(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{11\mathsf{A}}}\right)$ of dimension 1, spanned by

$$\mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{121\mathsf{D}}} = \varphi \circ \psi_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}} \left(\frac{[\mathfrak{a}_1] - [\mathfrak{a}_2]}{2} \right),$$

with height $\left\langle \mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{121\mathsf{D}}}, \mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{121\mathsf{D}}} \right\rangle = 15$. Using table 7.1, we see that

$$\Theta^{+}_{f_{121\mathsf{D}}} = Q^{+}_{1} + 2Q^{+}_{2} - 2Q^{+}_{3} - Q^{+}_{4} - 3Q^{+}_{5} + 3Q^{+}_{6};$$

on the other hand $\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^-}\left(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{121\mathsf{D}}}\right) = 0$, which is expected since $\epsilon(f_{11\mathsf{A}}) = +1$. Table 7.6 shows the values of $c_{f_{121\mathsf{D}}}(d)$ and $L(f_{121\mathsf{D}}, -11d, 1) = L(f_{11\mathsf{A}}, d, 1)$, where $0 < d < 200$ is a fundamental discriminant such that $\left(\frac{d}{11}\right) = +1$. The formula

$$L(f_{121\mathsf{D}}, -11d, 1) = L(f_{11\mathsf{A}}, d, 1) = k_{f_{121\mathsf{D}}} \frac{c_{f_{121\mathsf{D}}}(d)^2}{\sqrt{d}}$$

is satisfied, where

$$k_{f_{121\mathsf{D}}} = \frac{11}{300} \cdot \frac{\langle f_{121\mathsf{D}}, f_{121\mathsf{D}} \rangle}{L(f_{121\mathsf{D}}, 1)\sqrt{11}} = 0.2538418608559106843377589233 = L(f_{11\mathsf{A}}, 1).$$

d	$c_{f_{121\mathsf{A}}}(d)$	$L(f_{121\mathsf{A}}, d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{121\mathsf{A}}}(d)$	$L(f_{121\mathsf{A}}, d, 1)$
1	1	1.019795	113	-1	0.095934
5	-1	0.456066	124	-6	3.296890
12	2	1.177558	133	2	0.353710
37	-5	4.191331	136	-2	0.349787
53	1	0.140080	137	2	0.348508
56	-2	0.545103	141	2	0.343529
60	6	4.739578	152	-2	0.330865
69	-4	1.964302	157	4	1.302216
89	-3	0.972882	168	4	1.258862
92	2	0.425284	177	2	0.306610
93	-2	0.422991	181	1	0.075801
97	-3	0.931900	185	3	0.674791
104	-4	1.599986	188	2	0.297505

d	$c_{f_{121\mathsf{A}}}(d)$	$L(f_{121\mathsf{A}}, d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{121\mathsf{A}}}(d)$	$L(f_{121\mathsf{A}}, d, 1)$
8	2	1.442208	101	2	0.405894
13	3	2.545562	105	4	1.592349
17	-1	0.247337	109	1	0.097679
21	-4	3.560600	120	-2	0.372376
24	-2	0.832659	129	2	0.359152
28	-2	0.770892	140	-2	0.344754
29	3	1.704340	145	5	2.117234
40	-4	2.579900	149	-1	0.083545
41	-1	0.159265	156	2	0.326596
57	-2	0.540301	161	-2	0.321484
61	0	0.000000	172	8	4.976552
65	1	0.126490	173	2	0.310134
73	0	0.000000	184	10	7.518027
76	6	4.211226	193	-5	1.835161
85	-5	2.765307	197	1	0.072657

TABLE 7.5. Coefficients of $\Theta^+_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}}$ (top), $\Theta^-_{f_{121\mathsf{C}}}$ (bottom), and central values for $f_{121\mathsf{A}}$

8. EXAMPLE: LEVEL 17^2

Let $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(-17, -3)$, the quaternion algebra ramified precisely at ∞ and 17. A maximal order, and representatives for its left ideals classes, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{a}_1 &= \left\langle 1, i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle, \\ \mathfrak{a}_2 &= \left\langle 2, 2i, \frac{3+2i+j}{2}, \frac{3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Its index p suborder is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \left\langle 1, i, \frac{1+17j}{2}, \frac{3+3i+17j+k}{6} \right\rangle;$$

d	$c_{f_{11A}}(d)$	$L(f_{11A}, d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{11A}}(d)$	$L(f_{11A}, d, 1)$
1	1	0.253842	113	-5	0.596986
5	-5	2.838038	124	-5	0.569892
12	-5	1.831946	133	10	2.201088
37	5	1.043284	136	10	2.176676
53	10	3.486786	137	-5	0.542179
56	10	3.392105	141	-10	2.137734
60	-5	0.819271	152	-10	2.058929
69	15	6.875768	157	-15	4.558227
89	-5	0.672680	168	10	1.958432
92	-5	0.661621	177	5	0.476998
93	5	0.658054	181	-15	4.245281
97	5	0.644343	185	-5	0.466571
104	10	2.489124	188	-10	1.851332

TABLE 7.6. Coefficients of $\Theta^{+}_{f_{121D}}$ and central values for f_{11A}

inequivalent $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-subideals for each $\mathcal{O}$-ideal are show in Table 8.1.

We fix two index p suborders of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$

$$\mathcal{O}^{+} = \left\langle 1, 17i, \frac{1+17j}{2}, \frac{3+33i+17j+k}{6} \right\rangle,$$
$$\mathcal{O}^{-} = \left\langle 1, 17i, \frac{1+17j}{2}, \frac{3+99i+17j+k}{6} \right\rangle$$

in the $+$ and $-$ genus respectively. Table 8.1 shows the maps from $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-ideals to ternary quadratic forms of level 17^2 in the $+$ genus and in the $-$ genus, computed via $\mathcal{O}^{+}$- and $\mathcal{O}^{-}$-subideals respectively. The actual coefficients of the ternary quadratic forms are given in Table 8.2, with the notation as in (1).

8.1. f_{17A}. By computing the Brandt matrices for $\mathcal{O}$, we find the space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{17A}} = \psi_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}\left(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})^{f_{17A}}\right)$ of dimension 1, spanned by

$$\mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{17A}} = \mathbf{e}^{-}_{f_{17A}} = \psi_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}\left(\frac{[\mathfrak{a}_1]-[\mathfrak{a}_2]}{2}\right),$$

with heights $\left\langle \mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{17A}}, \mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{17A}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{e}^{-}_{f_{17A}}, \mathbf{e}^{-}_{f_{17A}} \right\rangle = 18$. Using table 8.1, we see that

$$\Theta^{+}_{f_{17A}} = 3Q^{+}_1 + 6Q^{+}_2 - Q^{+}_3 - 2Q^{+}_4 - 2Q^{+}_5 - 2Q^{+}_6 - 2Q^{+}_7,$$

and

$$\Theta^{-}_{f_{17A}} = 3Q^{-}_1 + 6Q^{-}_2 - 2Q^{-}_3 - 2Q^{-}_4 - 2Q^{-}_5 - 2Q^{-}_6 - Q^{-}_7.$$

Table 8.3 shows the values of $c_{f_{17A}}(d)$ and $L(f_{17A}, -17d, 1) = L(f_{289A}, -d, 1)$, where $0 > -d > -200$ is a fundamental discriminant such that $17 \nmid d$. The formula

$$L(f_{17A}, -17d, 1) = L(f_{289A}, -d, 1) = k_{f_{17A}} \frac{c_{f_{17A}}(d)^2}{\sqrt{d}}$$

$\mathcal{O}$-ideals	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-subideals	χ	+ genus	− genus
$\mathfrak{a}_1$	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,1} = \left\langle 17, i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{36+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_1^+	Q_1^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,2} = \left\langle 17, i, \frac{25+j}{2}, \frac{84+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_2^+	Q_2^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,3} = \left\langle 17, i, \frac{21+j}{2}, \frac{42+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_2^+	Q_2^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,4} = \left\langle 17, i, \frac{31+j}{2}, \frac{96+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_1^+	Q_1^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,5} = \left\langle 17, i, \frac{23+j}{2}, \frac{12+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_2^+	Q_2^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,6} = \left\langle 17, i, \frac{29+j}{2}, \frac{24+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_2^+	Q_2^-
$\mathfrak{a}_2$	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,1} = \left\langle 2, 2i, \frac{3+2i+17j}{2}, \frac{9+9i+17j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_3^+	Q_3^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,2} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{55+2i+j}{2}, \frac{144+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_4^+	Q_4^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,3} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{59+2i+j}{2}, \frac{84+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_5^+	Q_4^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,4} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{35+2i+j}{2}, \frac{36+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_6^+	Q_3^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,5} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{7+2i+j}{2}, \frac{48+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_7^+	Q_5^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,6} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{27+2i+j}{2}, \frac{156+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_7^+	Q_6^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,7} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{67+2i+j}{2}, \frac{168+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_6^+	Q_7^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,8} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{43+2i+j}{2}, \frac{120+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_5^+	Q_6^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,9} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{47+2i+j}{2}, \frac{60+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_4^+	Q_5^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,10} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{51+2i+j}{2}, \frac{3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_3^+	Q_3^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,11} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{63+2i+j}{2}, \frac{24+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_4^+	Q_4^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,12} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{23+2i+j}{2}, \frac{12+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_5^+	Q_4^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,13} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{31+2i+j}{2}, \frac{96+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_6^+	Q_3^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,14} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{19+2i+j}{2}, \frac{72+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_7^+	Q_5^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,15} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{15+2i+j}{2}, \frac{132+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_7^+	Q_6^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,16} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{3+2i+j}{2}, \frac{108+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_6^+	Q_7^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,17} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{11+2i+j}{2}, \frac{192+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_5^+	Q_6^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,18} = \left\langle 34, 2i, \frac{39+2i+j}{2}, \frac{180+3i+2j+k}{6} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_4^+	Q_5^-

TABLE 8.1. Maps $\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^+}$ and $\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^-}$ from the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-Ideals to ternary quadratic forms in the + and − genus respectively, level 17^2.

is satisfied, where

$$k_{f_{17\mathsf{A}}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\langle f_{17\mathsf{A}}, f_{17\mathsf{A}} \rangle}{L(f_{17\mathsf{A}}, 1)\sqrt{17}} = 1.3318791063852161592204747 62.$$

	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_{23}	a_{13}	a_{12}
Q_1^+	4,	51,	103,	−34,	−4,	0
Q_2^+	15,	32,	47,	24,	10,	4
Q_3^+	4,	52,	103,	36,	4,	4
Q_4^+	15,	35,	47,	−18,	−10,	−14
Q_5^+	8,	43,	60,	−16,	−4,	−4
Q_6^+	16,	32,	47,	−24,	−4,	−12
Q_7^+	15,	16,	100,	−12,	−4,	−12
Q_1^-	7,	11,	292,	−8,	−4,	−6
Q_2^-	23,	28,	40,	20,	12,	20
Q_3^-	7,	39,	79,	30,	6,	2
Q_4^-	12,	23,	75,	−10,	−8,	−4
Q_5^-	7,	20,	147,	−8,	−6,	−4
Q_6^-	27,	28,	39,	4,	26,	24
Q_7^-	3,	23,	295,	−22,	−2,	−2

TABLE 8.2. Coefficients of ternary quadratic forms, level 17^2.

8.2. f_{289A}. We readily find the space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{289A}}} = \varphi\left(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{17A}}}\right)$ of dimension 1. Using table 8.1, we can check that

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^+}\left(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{289A}}}\right) = \Theta_{\mathcal{O}^-}\left(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{289A}}}\right) = 0,$$

which is expected since $L(f_{\mathsf{289A}}, 1) = 0$.

9. EXAMPLE: LEVEL 19^2

Let $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}(-1, -19)$, the quaternion algebra ramified precisely at ∞ and 19. A maximal order, and representatives for its left ideals classes, are given by

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathfrak{a}_1 = \left\langle 1, i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{i+k}{2} \right\rangle,$$

$$\mathfrak{a}_2 = \left\langle 2, 2i, \frac{3+2i+j}{2}, \frac{2+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle.$$

Its index p suborder is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \left\langle 1, 19i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{19i+k}{2} \right\rangle;$$

inequivalent $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-subideals for each $\mathcal{O}$-ideal are show in Table 9.1.

We fix two index p suborders of $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$

$$\mathcal{O}^+ = \left\langle 1, 19i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{19i+19k}{2} \right\rangle,$$

$$\mathcal{O}^- = \left\langle 1, 19i, \frac{1+19j}{2}, \frac{19i+18j+k}{2} \right\rangle$$

in the $+$ and $-$ genus respectively. Table 9.1 shows the maps from $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-ideals to ternary quadratic forms of level 19^2 in the $+$ genus and in the $-$ genus, computed via $\mathcal{O}^+$- and $\mathcal{O}^-$-subideals respectively. The actual coefficients of the ternary quadratic

d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{289A}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{289A}}, -d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{289A}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{289A}}, -d, 1)$
4	2	2.663758	104	4	2.089624
8	-2	1.883561	111	-2	0.505665
15	2	1.375559	115	2	0.496793
19	-2	1.222216	120	0	0.000000
35	-2	0.900515	123	-6	4.323294
43	2	0.812439	127	2	0.472741
47	0	0.000000	132	0	0.000000
52	0	0.000000	151	2	0.433547
55	2	0.718362	152	0	0.000000
59	-2	0.693584	155	2	0.427916
67	0	0.000000	168	-4	1.644107
83	-2	0.584771	179	2	0.398197
84	4	2.325119	183	-2	0.393821
87	2	0.571170	191	0	0.000000
103	0	0.000000	195	4	1.526046
d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{289A}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{289A}}, -d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{289A}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{289A}}, -d, 1)$
3	-1	0.768961	95	-4	2.186367
7	-1	0.503403	107	3	1.158819
11	3	3.614190	116	2	0.494647
20	-2	1.191269	131	-3	1.047301
23	1	0.277716	139	-1	0.112969
24	2	1.087475	143	-2	0.445509
31	-1	0.239213	148	-2	0.437919
39	-4	3.412341	159	2	0.422500
40	2	0.842354	163	7	5.111720
56	0	0.000000	164	-4	1.664037
71	3	1.422585	167	-1	0.103064
79	-1	0.149848	184	-8	6.283996
88	-2	0.567915	199	1	0.094414
91	-2	0.558475			

TABLE 8.3. Coefficients of $\Theta^{+}_{f_{\mathsf{17A}}}$ (top), $\Theta^{-}_{f_{\mathsf{17A}}}$ (bottom), and central values for f_{289A}

forms are given in Table 9.2, with the notation as in (1).

9.1. f_{19A}. By computing the Brandt matrices for $\mathcal{O}$, we find the space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{19A}}} = \psi_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}\left(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{O})^{f_{\mathsf{19A}}}\right)$ of dimension 1, spanned by

$$\mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{\mathsf{19A}}} = \mathbf{e}^{-}_{f_{\mathsf{19A}}} = \psi_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}\left(\frac{[\mathfrak{a}_1] - [\mathfrak{a}_2]}{2}\right),$$

with heights $\left\langle \mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{\mathsf{19A}}}, \mathbf{e}^{+}_{f_{\mathsf{19A}}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{e}^{-}_{f_{\mathsf{19A}}}, \mathbf{e}^{-}_{f_{\mathsf{19A}}} \right\rangle = 15$. Using table 9.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta^{+}_{f_{\mathsf{19A}}} &= Q^{+}_{1} + 2Q^{+}_{2} + 2Q^{+}_{3} + 2Q^{+}_{4} + 2Q^{+}_{5} + Q^{+}_{6} \\ &\quad - Q^{+}_{7} - 2Q^{+}_{8} - 2Q^{+}_{9} - 2Q^{+}_{10} - 2Q^{+}_{11} - Q^{+}_{12},\end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{O}$-ideals	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-subideals	χ	$+$ genus	$-$ genus
$\mathfrak{a}_1$	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,1} = \left\langle 1, 19i, \frac{1+j}{2}, \frac{19i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_1^+	Q_1^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,2} = \left\langle 19, 14+i, \frac{19+j}{2}, \frac{14+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_2^+	Q_2^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,3} = \left\langle 19, 9+i, \frac{19+j}{2}, \frac{28+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_3^+	Q_3^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,4} = \left\langle 19, 17+i, \frac{19+j}{2}, \frac{36+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_3^+	Q_4^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,5} = \left\langle 19, 15+i, \frac{19+j}{2}, \frac{34+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_2^+	Q_5^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,6} = \left\langle 19, 16+i, \frac{19+j}{2}, \frac{16+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_4^+	Q_4^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,7} = \left\langle 19, 11+i, \frac{19+j}{2}, \frac{30+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_5^+	Q_5^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,8} = \left\langle 19, 1+i, \frac{19+j}{2}, \frac{20+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_6^+	Q_1^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,9} = \left\langle 19, 7+i, \frac{19+j}{2}, \frac{26+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_5^+	Q_2^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{1,10} = \left\langle 19, 6+i, \frac{19+j}{2}, \frac{6+i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_4^+	Q_3^-
$\mathfrak{a}_2$	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,1} = \left\langle 38, 2+2i, \frac{59+2i+j}{2}, \frac{22+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_7^+	Q_6^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,2} = \left\langle 38, 14+2i, \frac{71+2i+j}{2}, \frac{2+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_8^+	Q_7^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,3} = \left\langle 38, 12+2i, \frac{31+2i+j}{2}, \frac{18+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_9^+	Q_8^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,4} = \left\langle 38, 26+2i, \frac{7+2i+j}{2}, \frac{58+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_9^+	Q_9^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,5} = \left\langle 38, 24+2i, \frac{43+2i+j}{2}, \frac{74+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_8^+	Q_{10}^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,6} = \left\langle 38, 36+2i, \frac{55+2i+j}{2}, \frac{54+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_7^+	Q_6^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,7} = \left\langle 38, 16+2i, \frac{35+2i+j}{2}, \frac{62+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_8^+	Q_7^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,8} = \left\langle 38, 6+2i, \frac{63+2i+j}{2}, \frac{66+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_9^+	Q_8^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,9} = \left\langle 38, 32+2i, \frac{51+2i+j}{2}, \frac{10+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_9^+	Q_9^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,10} = \left\langle 38, 22+2i, \frac{3+2i+j}{2}, \frac{14+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$+$	Q_8^+	Q_{10}^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,11} = \left\langle 38, 18+2i, \frac{75+2i+j}{2}, \frac{46+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_{10}^+	Q_8^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,12} = \left\langle 38, 34+2i, \frac{15+2i+j}{2}, \frac{70+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_{10}^+	Q_9^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,13} = \left\langle 38, 30+2i, \frac{11+2i+j}{2}, \frac{26+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_{11}^+	Q_{10}^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,14} = \left\langle 38, 2i, \frac{19+2i+j}{2}, \frac{38+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_{12}^+	Q_6^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,15} = \left\langle 38, 8+2i, \frac{27+2i+j}{2}, \frac{50+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_{11}^+	Q_7^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,16} = \left\langle 38, 4+2i, \frac{23+2i+j}{2}, \frac{6+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_{10}^+	Q_8^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,17} = \left\langle 38, 20+2i, \frac{39+2i+j}{2}, \frac{30+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_{10}^+	Q_9^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,18} = \left\langle 38, 10+2i, \frac{67+2i+j}{2}, \frac{34+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_{11}^+	Q_{10}^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,19} = \left\langle 2, 38i, \frac{3+38i+j}{2}, \frac{2+19i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_{12}^+	Q_6^-
	$\mathfrak{b}_{2,20} = \left\langle 38, 28+2i, \frac{47+2i+j}{2}, \frac{42+3i+k}{2} \right\rangle$	$-$	Q_{11}^+	Q_7^-

TABLE 9.1. Maps $\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^+}$ and $\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^-}$ from the $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$-Ideals to ternary quadratic forms in the $+$ and $-$ genus respectively, level 19^2.

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta^-_{f_{19\mathsf{A}}} &= 2Q_1^- + 2Q_2^- + 2Q_3^- + 2Q_4^- + 2Q_5^- \\ &\quad - 2Q_6^- - 2Q_7^- - 2Q_8^- - 2Q_9^- - 2Q_{10}^-.\end{aligned}$$

Table 9.3 shows the values of $c_{f_{19\mathsf{A}}}(d)$ and $L(f_{19\mathsf{A}}, -19d, 1) = L(f_{361\mathsf{B}}, d, 1)$, where $0 < d < 200$ is a fundamental discriminant such that $19 \nmid d$. The formula

$$L(f_{19\mathsf{A}}, -19d, 1) = L(f_{361\mathsf{B}}, d, 1) = k_{f_{19\mathsf{A}}} \frac{c_{f_{19\mathsf{A}}}(d)^2}{\sqrt{d}}$$

	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_{23}	a_{13}	a_{12}
Q_1^+	1,	76,	380,	−76,	0,	0
Q_2^+	5,	76,	92,	−76,	−4,	0
Q_3^+	25,	36,	36,	−4,	−16,	−16
Q_4^+	17,	44,	44,	12,	16,	16
Q_5^+	20,	24,	73,	4,	8,	20
Q_6^+	4,	20,	361,	0,	0,	−4
Q_7^+	5,	16,	365,	16,	2,	4
Q_8^+	16,	24,	77,	20,	8,	4
Q_9^+	20,	36,	45,	20,	16,	12
Q_{10}^+	9,	44,	77,	28,	6,	8
Q_{11}^+	5,	61,	92,	16,	4,	2
Q_{12}^+	4,	77,	96,	40,	4,	4
Q_1^-	8,	21,	181,	2,	4,	8
Q_2^-	29,	29,	37,	−6,	−6,	−18
Q_3^-	13,	48,	48,	20,	8,	8
Q_4^-	29,	32,	32,	−12,	−8,	−8
Q_5^-	29,	29,	41,	−14,	−14,	−18
Q_6^-	21,	32,	53,	−20,	−14,	−16
Q_7^-	29,	32,	37,	−28,	−6,	−8
Q_8^-	12,	13,	184,	−12,	−4,	−4
Q_9^-	8,	29,	124,	20,	4,	4
Q_{10}^-	21,	29,	53,	26,	14,	2

TABLE 9.2. Coefficients of ternary quadratic forms, level 19^2.

is satisfied, where

$$k_{f_{\mathsf{19A}}} = \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{\langle f_{\mathsf{19A}}, f_{\mathsf{19A}} \rangle}{L(f_{\mathsf{19A}}, 1)\sqrt{19}} = 1.8936398595948453810728728 62 = L(f_{\mathsf{361B}}, 1).$$

9.2. f_{361A}. By computing the Brandt matrices for $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$, we find the space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{361A}}}$ of dimension 2. Using table 9.1, we can check that

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^+}\left(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{361A}}}\right) = \Theta_{\mathcal{O}^-}\left(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{361A}}}\right) = 0,$$

which is expected since $L(f_{\mathsf{361A}}, 1) = 0$.

9.3. f_{361B}. We readily find the space $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{361B}}} = \varphi\left(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{19A}}}\right)$ of dimension 1, spanned by

$$\mathbf{e}^+_{f_{\mathsf{361B}}} = \varphi \circ \psi_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}\left(\frac{[\mathfrak{a}_1] - [\mathfrak{a}_2]}{2}\right),$$

d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{361B}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{361B}}, d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{361B}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{361B}}, d, 1)$
1	1	1.893640	104	4	2.970987
5	-1	0.846861	120	-2	0.691460
17	1	0.459275	137	-1	0.161785
24	2	1.546150	140	-3	1.440376
28	1	0.357864	149	-1	0.155133
44	-1	0.285477	156	6	5.458051
61	-5	6.061393	157	-4	2.418063
73	1	0.221634	161	0	0.000000
77	-1	0.215800	168	-2	0.584390
85	-3	1.848547	172	3	1.299498
92	2	0.789702	177	-2	0.569339
93	2	0.785445	188	1	0.138108
101	2	0.753697	197	2	0.539665

d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{361B}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{361B}}, d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{\mathsf{361B}}}(d)$	$L(f_{\mathsf{361B}}, d, 1)$
8	0	0.000000	97	0	0.000000
12	-2	2.186587	105	2	0.739201
13	0	0.000000	109	0	0.000000
21	-2	1.652904	113	2	0.712555
29	2	1.406560	124	-8	10.883448
33	-2	1.318562	129	2	0.666903
37	2	1.245250	136	4	2.598052
40	4	4.790572	141	-2	0.637893
41	-2	1.182947	145	2	0.629033
53	0	0.000000	165	-2	0.589679
56	-4	4.048772	173	-2	0.575883
60	-2	0.977871	181	6	5.067113
65	0	0.000000	184	-4	2.233616
69	4	3.647479	185	2	0.556893
88	-4	3.229803	193	4	2.180915
89	0	0.000000			

TABLE 9.3. Coefficients of $\Theta^+_{f_{\mathsf{19A}}}$ (top), $\Theta^-_{f_{\mathsf{19A}}}$ (bottom), and central values for f_{361B}

with height $\left\langle \mathbf{e}^+_{f_{\mathsf{361B}}}, \mathbf{e}^+_{f_{\mathsf{361B}}} \right\rangle = 15$. Using table 9.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^+_{f_{\mathsf{361B}}} &= Q_1^+ + 2Q_2^+ + 2Q_3^+ - 2Q_4^+ - 2Q_5^+ - Q_6^+ \\ &\quad - Q_7^+ - 2Q_8^+ - 2Q_9^+ + 2Q_{10}^+ + 2Q_{11}^+ + Q_{12}^+; \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand $\Theta_{\mathcal{O}^-}\left(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})^{f_{\mathsf{361B}}}\right) = 0$, which is expected since $\epsilon(f_{\mathsf{19A}}) = +1$. Table 9.4 shows the values of $c_{f_{\mathsf{361B}}}(d)$ and $L(f_{\mathsf{361B}}, -19d, 1) = L(f_{\mathsf{19A}}, d, 1)$, where $0 < d < 200$ is a fundamental discriminant such that $\left(\frac{d}{19}\right) = +1$. The formula

$$L(f_{\mathsf{361B}}, -19d, 1) = L(f_{\mathsf{19A}}, d, 1) = k_{f_{\mathsf{361B}}} \frac{c_{f_{\mathsf{361B}}}(d)^2}{\sqrt{d}}$$

d	$c_{f_{19A}}(d)$	$L(f_{19A}, d, 1)$	d	$c_{f_{19A}}(d)$	$L(f_{19A}, d, 1)$
1	1	0.453253	104	12	6.400100
5	3	1.824309	120	6	1.489542
17	-3	0.989371	137	3	0.348516
24	-6	3.330718	140	-3	0.344762
28	-3	0.770911	149	-9	3.007688
44	-9	5.534770	156	6	1.306415
61	3	0.522298	157	0	0.000000
73	-3	0.477444	161	-12	5.143876
77	3	0.464877	168	6	1.258893
85	-3	0.442460	172	3	0.311042
92	6	1.701177	177	-6	1.226470
93	-6	1.692006	188	9	2.677608
101	6	1.623614	197	6	1.162546

TABLE 9.4. Coefficients of $\Theta^+_{f_{361B}}$ and central values for f_{19A}

is satisfied, where

$$k_{f_{361B}} = \frac{19}{540} \cdot \frac{\langle f_{361B}, f_{361B} \rangle}{L(f_{361B}, 1)\sqrt{19}} = 0.4532532444961036035788391869 = L(f_{19A}, 1).$$

REFERENCES

[1] *Computational number theory*, `http://www.ma.utexas.edu/users/villegas/cnt/`.

[2] Martin Eichler, *Zur Zahlentheorie der Quaternionen-Algebren*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **195** (1955), 127–151 (1956).

[3] Benedict H. Gross, *Heights and the special values of L-series*, Number theory (Montreal, Que., 1985), CMS Conf. Proc., vol. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 115–187.

[4] Zhengyu Mao, Fernando Rodriguez-Villegas, and Gonzalo Tornaría, *Computation of central values of quadratic twists of modular L-functions*, This volume.

[5] Ariel Pacetti and Gonzalo Tornaría, *Shimura correspondence for level p^2*, In preparation.

[6] *PARI/GP, version* `2.2.8`, `http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/`, 2004.

[7] Arnold Pizer, *Theta series and modular forms of level p^2M*, Compositio Math. **40** (1980), no. 2, 177–241.

[8] Goro Shimura, *On modular forms of half integral weight*, Ann. of Math. (2) **97** (1973), 440–481.

[9] Gonzalo Tornaría, *Data about the central values of the L-series of (imaginary and real) quadratic twists of elliptic curves*, `http://www.ma.utexas.edu/users/tornaria/cnt/`, 2004.

[10] Masaru Ueda, *On twisting operators and newforms of half-integral weight*, Nagoya Math. J. **131** (1993), 135–205.

[11] Jean-Loup Waldspurger, *Sur les coefficients de Fourier des formes modulaires de poids demi-entier*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **60** (1981), no. 4, 375–484.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, PABELLÓN I, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA. C.P:1428, BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: `apacetti@dm.uba.ar`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TX 78712
E-mail address: `tornaria@math.utexas.edu`